Cell cycle arrest biomarkers for the early prediction of acute kidney injury - full of promise, but not a must–have for yet
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ABSTRACT
Hospital acquired acute kidney injury (AKI) is one of the most common organ dysfunctions in elderly and critically ill patients and adversely impacts on short-term as well as on long-term clinical outcomes. Early diagnosis of AKI, preferably within 12 to 24 hours after onset of the renal insult, is pivotal to the development of effective therapies attenuating acute kidney dysfunction severity or fastening renal recovery. Traditional biomarkers like creatinine are late indicators of AKI. The cell cycle arrest biomarkers (CCABs) tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-2 and insulin like growth factor binding protein-7 accurately predicted moderate to severe AKI in high-risk cardiothoracic surgery patients within 12 hours. These CCABs outperformed the most promising current biomarkers. The NephroCheck test system represents a promising tool inching closer to widespread clinical application and received FDA approval in September 2014. However, there is an urgent need to demonstrate the cost-effectiveness of this biomarker test system whether alone or in combination with biomarkers of other pathways. Most importantly, further trials should validate the cut-off values in patient populations other than intensive care unit (ICU) and cardiac surgery.

Introduction
Conceptually, acute kidney injury (AKI) is defined as an abrupt (over hours to days) decrease in glomerular filtration rate (GFR), resulting in retention of creatinine, urea and other waste products and in dysregulation of body fluid, electrolyte and acid-base homeostasis. Clinically, this disorder has multiple etiologies and risk factors, encompasses a broad spectrum of manifestations ranging from tubular stress to oligo-anuric renal failure and is associated with unpredictable outcomes. AKI is a common problem amongst hospitalized patients, especially in elderly patients whose numbers are increasing and in those treated in the intensive care unit (1). This renal disorder is no longer considered an innocent bystander merely reflecting the severity of precipitating acute illness or of coexisting pathologies. Irrespective of its nature, severity and duration AKI is an independent and strong determinant of in hospital mortality, intensive care unit (ICU) readmission and post-discharge renal and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. At present, prevention of AKI focuses on the optimization of cardiac filling pressure to improve renal perfusion and on the avoidance of exposure to nephrotoxins. Management of established AKI is primarily supportive. In spite of
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many advances in intensive care and renal replacement techniques, the 10-year survival rate of critically ill patients with AKI severe enough requiring RRT is only 20% (2–4).

Materials and Methods
For this review, we applied a diversity of sources by searching through PubMed/Embase, Scopus, EBSCO, Web of Science, and directory of open access journals (DOAJ). The search was conducted using the combination of the following key words and or their equivalents such as acute kidney injury, outcome and Cell cycle arrest biomarker.

Conventional biomarkers of AKI
Acute kidney injury is considered a silent disease that commonly occurs in patients with acute illness. Its early stages cause few specific symptoms or clinical signs. Currently, simple surrogate markers of GFR and urinalysis identify AKI. However, the standard metrics used to define AKI and to monitor its progression, such as serum creatinine or blood urea nitrogen levels, are insensitive, nonspecific and are increased only after significant kidney injury (more than half loss of the kidney function) and then with a substantial time delay. Increases in serum creatinine levels represent a delayed (hours to days) indication of a functional change in GFR that lags behind structural changes that occur during the early stages of AKI. The same increment in serum creatinine may have different clinical implications. Single point measurements demonstrating increased serum creatinine do not allow differentiation between pre-renal azotemia, intrinsic renal dysfunction and obstructive uropathy, nor do they differentiate between AKI and chronic kidney disease. Finally, subclinical renal injury occurs without overt changes in serum creatinine (5).

Urine output, another diagnostic marker of AKI, is unreliable except in monitored settings such as intensive care units and can be altered by administration of fluid and diuretics. Urine chemistry such as fractional excretion rate of sodium or urea may differentiate pre-renal azotemia from acute tubular necrosis in selected patients. However, administration of diuretics, changes in volume status and different causes of AKI may confound the results of these tests. Furthermore, microscopy of the urine sediment for renal tubular casts may be helpful in differentiating prerenal azotemia from acute tubular necrosis. However, many centers lack experienced personnel to interpret urine microscopy.

The delayed or even missed diagnosis of AKI by the use of conventional biomarkers prevents timely patient management decisions, including the administration of putative renoprotective agents. The National Confidential Enquiry into Patient Outcomes and Death Report demonstrated that 30% of the patients had predictable and avoidable AKI. Early recognition and successful preventive measures would result in a large reduction of deaths, complications and costs due to AKI (6).

Novel biomarkers of AKI
In most forms of acute kidney, structural changes precede functional impairment. Ideally, kidney specific biomarkers of AKI should identify patients at risk and evaluate the susceptibility of the kidneys to toxic exposures. They should allow an early recognition of renal injury/dysfunction (i.e. before alterations in traditional markers occur), enable differential diagnosis, stratify patients based on prognosis (progression, need for renal replacement therapy, recovery, survival) and to monitor the response to interventions and treatment. The integration of novel biomarkers into clinical practice should enable incremental diagnostic and prognostic information beyond that found by clinical evaluation or traditional markers alone (5,7).

Numerous different urinary and serum proteins, molecules and – most recently – micro RNAs have been rigorously investigated during the last decade.

The rise and fall of promising biomarkers of AKI
While novel AKI biomarkers have enhanced our understanding of the pathophysiologic processes during AKI, the clinical utility of most of them is not clear. Countless investigations have identified biomarkers that facilitate the early diagnosis, differential diagnosis and short- and long-term prognosis of pediatric patients after timed injury (8). Such markers are urinary neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL), urinary interleukin 18 (IL-18), plasma and urinary cystatin C, urinary kidney injury molecule 1 (KIM-1) or urinary liver type fatty acid binding protein (L-FABP). Unfortunately, the performance of these novel biomarkers in adult patients with sepsis or comorbidities is not robust. Results are influenced by patient case mix, comorbidities, type of kidney insult, timing of measurements as well as selected thresholds for diagnosis and prognosis of AKI. Particularly, intensive care patients at risk for AKI suffer from a strong inflammatory response with injury of several organ systems. They are exposed to repeated diagnostic and therapeutic procedures, fluid therapy, vasopressor support, and multiple other pharmacologic substances. There is no surprise that specific biomarkers show a major loss of diagnostic accuracy or predictive ability when used in critically ill patients, where “biomarkeremia” and/or “biomarkeruria” may be a biological signal of overall illness severity rather than specific kidney damage (5,7).

Recently, monitoring of urinary concentrations of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-2 (TIMP-2) and insulin-like growth factor binding protein-7 (IGFBP 7) might serve as an early method to detect AKI following cardiac surgery. The combination of these two biomarkers seems to be highly predictive of which patients will develop moderate to severe AKI in the next 12-24 hours. These two G1 cell-cycle arrest biomarkers (CCABs) outperformed the other most studied markers of AKI. The test system for CCABs (NephroCheck, Astute Medical Inc., San Diego, USA) received US Food and Drug Administration
negative for the test would be free of AKI for the next 12 hours.

The prospective multicenter Topaz trial included 420 critically ill patients with severe respiratory or cardiovascular disease (9% had chronic kidney disease, sepsis was the main cause of ICU admission in 24%). The trial assessed the ability of NephroCheck biomarkers to predict patients at risk of developing moderate to severe AKI within 12 hours of testing (12). At the 0.3 cut-off value the CCABs test sensitivity was 92%. When the biomarker results were combined with clinical variables, the AUC was 0.86 vs. 0.7 for clinical parameters alone, and 0.82 for the biomarker test alone. At the 0.3 cut-off values, specificity for the biomarker test was 0.46%.

A follow up study of a subset of 692 patients from the Sapphire trial found that patients with AKI and early CCABs scores of 2.0 had an increased risk of death or of requiring RRT during the following nine months compared with a score of 0.3 (13).

Secondary analyses of 375 adult surgical ICU participants of the Sapphire trial and the Topaz trial found that a single urinary TIMP-2×IGFBP7 test accurately identified patients at risk for developing AKI. Its inclusion in clinical risk models significantly enhanced their performance (14).

Beyond the four primary studies described above, smaller studies of these biomarkers in adult or pediatric AKI have been published (15-19) with more or less promising data.

**Reference intervals of urinary cell cycle arrest AKI markers in healthy subjects and chronic comorbid subjects without AKI**

A recent multi-site trial determined the reference intervals of these biomarkers in apparently healthy adults (N = 378) and subjects with at least one stable chronic morbidity (N = 372, renal insufficiency in 1.6% of the patients). The reference values in apparently healthy subjects and subjects with stable chronic morbidity were 0.04-2.25 and 0.05-2.20 (ng/mL)²/1000 respectively. There was no statistical difference in the reference interval among the two study populations. However, reference values in healthy subjects and stable chronic patients overlapped with the values obtained from AKI patients. Reference interval data showed that overall 50% of the study population had values above 0.33. The authors stated that CCABs values obtained from critically ill patients should be used in conjunction with the patient condition and clinical signs or symptoms and that the test was developed to assess risk of AKI and not intended as a sole indicator for the diagnosis of AKI (20,21).

**Conflicting data on the precision of NephroCheck**

A German study included 42 patients undergoing cardiac bypass surgery and analyzed whether urinary TIMP-2 and IGFBP7 were adequate diagnostic tests to identify early AKI after cardiac surgery (22). Thirty-eight percent of the study patients experienced AKI. The results showed
a median baseline concentration of 0.3 (ng/mL)²/1000, which decreased at the end of surgery and then increased at 4 hours after surgery. Further increases were noted on the first post-operative day with significantly higher CCABs concentrations in patients with AKI. However, on the day of surgery, the concentrations did not differ between patients classified as KDIGO 0 or KDIGO 1 or 2. The authors found, that their study did not confirm previously published cut-off points of 0.3 and 2 (ng/mL)²/1000. The sensitivity and specificity of the cut-off point of 0.3 were 53% and 54% respectively. The cutoff point of 2 (ng/mL)²/1000 led to a sensitivity of 33% and a specificity of 100%.

Numerous factors may explain these discrepant results; 1) The German study was not restricted to patients at high risk for AKI. There are a number of examples of biomarker performance degradation when cohorts include high-risk patients as well as affected patients. 2) The surgical fluid management practice differed from the validation trials and resulted in a post-operative decline (dilution) of the biomarker concentrations. 3) The study had a small sample size and few patients with post-cardiac surgery AKI. With only 16 of 43 enrolled patients reaching the endpoint of AKI this study has a power well below 60% rendering any conclusion regarding test performance statistically meaningless.

A second single-center German study of 50 patients undergoing cardiac bypass (CBP) surgery measured urinary CCABs at 4, 12, 24, 48 and 72 hours after CBP (18). These investigators found a marked rise of these biomarker values at all points in time in the 26 patients. Twenty-six patients (52%) developed post-cardiac surgery AKI. A composite of the maximum urinary CCABs concentrations during the first 24 hours was superior to measurements at each individual time point, with an AUC of 0.9. At four hours, the biomarker test AUC was 0.81. By comparison, another urinary biomarker test, NGAL at four hours had an AUC of 0.68.

A recent Swedish study including 94 ICU patients with normal renal function assessed CCABs to predict early and delayed AKI (23). Urine samples were collected within 48 hours of ICU admission and no AKI at the beginning of sampling. Nineteen patients (20%) developed AKI within 48 hours (8 patients within 12 hours, 4 patients within 12-24 hours, and 7 patients within 24-48 hours). The urinary biomarkers levels (CCABs, NGAL and cystatin) in non AKI patients and in patients who developed AKI within 12-to 48 hours did not differ significantly. Diabetes was independently associated with higher CCABs, but AKI or sepsis were not. The authors concluded that urinary CCABs (as well as NGAL or cystatin) should be used cautiously as AKI predictors in general ICU patients since urine levels of these biomarkers are affected by factors other than AKI and their performance can be poor. The strengths of this study are that it applied biomarker testing to a general ICU patient population with detailed information on septic state, level of AKI as well as demographic and comorbidity data. Noteworthy, the authors used a point-of-care commercial kit for CCABs measurements.

Limitations of published investigations

Admittedly, the discovery and validation trials of cell arrest biomarkers have shown an outstanding performance of the two CCABs IGFBP 7 and TIMP-2 in selected ICU patients (acute hemodynamic or respiratory compromise) with high risk for post-cardiac surgery AKI. However, there has not been published much about the day to day clinical use of the NephroCheck test in other hospitalized patients. Whether or not the test performs equally in unselected cohorts, like mixed ICU patient populations, or patients treated in the emergency room or in general hospital wards remains to be demonstrated. Regrettably, the few efforts of small studies to reproduce the results of the validation trials have been controversial. There are notable differences in the performance of these biomarkers between Sapphire (10) and Opal (11) trials on the one hand and the studies reported by Bell et al (23) and Wetz et al (22) on the other hand, even though both were done in ICU patients. These conflicting findings suggest that the performance of the cell cycle arrest markers may decrease markedly in unselected critically ill patients. There are numerous examples of performance degradation of novel biomarkers (initial AUC above 0.9) resulting in questionable utility with AUCs frequently below 0.7. Generally, critical problems affecting biomarkers in heterogeneous patient populations involve the cause of AKI, context (co-morbid diseases and baseline renal function), timing of the injury and timing and/or number of samples. The two CCABs have been evaluated in patients with cardiothoracic surgery, with a predominance of Caucasians, male gender as well as nephrotic or ischemic AKI. The reported biomarkers performed well in patients with sepsis (AUC 0.82) and post-cardiac surgery (AUC 0.85). IGFBP 7 may be superior to TIMP-2 in surgical patients while TIMP 2 is best in sepsis–induced AKI. However, differences in the number of patients alone may not explain divergent results among investigations with cardiac surgery patients, but also differences in patient cohort composition and surgical procedures. Compared to cardiac surgical procedures with valve or combined valve replacement, coronary artery bypass grafting only surgery requires shorter cardiopulmonary bypass and aortic clamp time, assuming less ischemic injury to the kidneys. The risk of AKI could have been considerably higher in the study by Meersch et al (18) than in the study by Wetz et al (22), because they also included patients undergoing valvular and combined cardiac surgery with significantly longer cardiopulmonary bypass and aortic clamp times. The low-grade AKI cohort of Wetz et al may be a major reason why these authors could not confirm previously cutoff points.

Currently, cut-off values established for NephroCheck in the validation trials and specified in the US FDA approved...
indication have not been validated in populations such as newborns, children, patients with pre-existing kidney disease or patients receiving contrast media or nephrotoxic antibiotics. There is circumstantial proof, that the optimal test threshold for novel biomarkers may vary for different co-morbidities and settings. The same statement may hold true for the new CCABs. The US FDA approval noted the relatively low specificity (around 50%) of the low cutoff values. A significant proportion of patients who are not at risk of AKI will have a positive test result and emphasized that the test should be used in conjunction with other clinical criteria to assess AKI risk. The number of positive biomarker tests without consecutive rise in serum creatinine may be magnified in populations at low risk for AKI (24). The demonstration that novel biomarkers may be elevated without overt changes in serum creatinine changes is not limited to CCABs. A meta-analysis of aggregate data from 2322 patients (10 studies) showed that 19.2% of patients had raised NGAL levels without relevant changes in serum creatinine. Mortality, length of stay in the ICU and need for RRT were significantly higher in the cohort with increased NGAL levels than in patients without an urinary NGAL and serum creatinine rise (25). Whether or not novel biomarkers in these circumstances are an indicator for subclinical renal injury or simply a marker of illness severity remains unclear. However, the high number of positive tests in unselected patients can potentially lead to potential harm if important interventions (antibiotics, contrast media) are given delayed or withheld on the base of false positive biomarker results. The timing and number of urine collections in the validation trials warrant a comment. In contrast to cardiac surgery or administration of contrast media or antibiotics, it is often difficult to determine the actual onset of AKI. Additionally the kinetics of CCABs are unknown in some patient populations. Significant biomarker dilution is likely in the immediate post-cardiac surgery setting and in patients with cardiac arrest. The primary analysis of the Sapphire (10) and Topaz (12) trials was the ability of urinary TIMP-2 x IGFBP 7 to predict moderate to severe AKI within 12 hours after ICU admission. While most of the subjects in the Opal trial were recruited prior to clinical manifestations of AKI, some patients were enrolled with elevated or maximal serum creatinine concentrations on admission. One-hundred and one patients of the Sapphire cohort met the primary end-point (moderate to severe AKI) within 12 hours. However, in addition 218 individuals (30%) developed AKI within 7 days (10). The diagnostic window of 12 hours leads to an early prediction of AKI in high risk patients, but single point measurements of these biomarkers will miss two-thirds of patients with post-cardiac surgery. The NephroCheck test is intended for use in adult patients in conjunction with other clinical or laboratory tests. This test does not replace the clinical experience of nephrologists. However, it is largely unknown whether these CCABs add anything beyond clinical evaluation combined with traditional renal function tests. In a multivariate model including clinical information, urinary TIMP-2 x IGFBP-7 remained statistically significant and a strong predictor of AKI (AUC 0.7 for clinical variables alone vs. clinical variables plus TIMP-2 and IGFBP7, AUC 0.86). The clinical model used in the validation trial included preexisting chronic kidney disease, APACHE III score, sepsis, nephrotoxic drugs, hypotension, liver disease and diabetes. Amazingly, they did not include cardiopulmonary bypass or aortic clamp time, brain natriuretic peptide (26), post-operative diuresis or furosemide stress test (13), New York Heart Association (NYHA) classification of heart failure or other recognized risk factors. Up to now, novel biomarkers including CCABs have been validated against serum creatinine, since absolute or relative increases in creatinine are the primary basis for the consensus definitions of AKI, although the changes actually are surrogates for changes in the GFR. Thus for validity, damage markers need to be tested against an independent gold standard. However, renal histology is not routinely available. Whether the sensitivity for RT or death can be used to identify biomarker cutoffs for AKI diagnosis remains unclear.

Crucial questions remain unanswered

Early detection of AKI could trigger more aggressive volume management and differences in the dosing of medications such as antibiotics. Currently, there is no directed therapy for AKI. Many previously tested therapeutics may have failed because they simply did not work, some may have failed because the inability to get the drug into the patient within the right window of time. Therapeutics may be more easily tested and their values more accurately assessed by identifying high-risk patients closer to the time of potential kidney injury. No biomarker had yet shown the efficacy of any intervention based on increased biomarkers alone. The only intervention study using novel biomarkers to guide treatment was negative. Endre and colleagues performed a double blind placebo controlled study to investigate whether early treatment with high erythropoietin doses could prevent the development of clinically diagnosed AKI in ICU patients (27). The decision to randomize patients was based solely on the use of a threefold increase in urinary y-GT. Unfortunately, early intervention with erythropoietin was not successful in preventing AKI, renal replacement therapy or death. Secondly, a German multicenter randomized trial investigated the effect of remote ischemic preconditioning (RIP) on post-cardiac surgery AKI. Two-hundred forty patients at high risk for AKI were randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive RIP or sham RIP. RIP had no significant effect on myocardial infarction, stroke or mortality, but was associated with a significant reduction in AKI, less RRT and shorter ICU stay, as well as a significant attenuation in the release of IGFBP 7 and TMP-2 after surgery (28).
In contrast, a meta-analysis including 2200 study patients, found no significant difference in postoperative kidney function (serum creatinine or GFR), incidence of RRT, or hospital mortality, and hospital or ICU stay in patients receiving RIP (29). Thus, adequately powered trials are needed to prove the evidence of a beneficial effect of RIP for prevention of post-cardiac surgery AKI. Finally, it may be attractive to know which patient will need RRT, but earlier knowledge is unlikely to change clinical practice. There is no evidence that initiation of RRT earlier than by current criteria is beneficial (30).

Conclusion
The increasing number of AKI patients, their rising age, and number of co-morbidities and also severity of acute illness, all make earlier detection of AKI and timely intervention for patient care necessary. The NephroCheck biomarkers performed better than other novel biomarkers in selected ICU patients at high risk for post cardiac surgery AKI for a 12-hour time frame. Nevertheless, the predictive ability of the CCABs is just beginning to be elucidated. Further studies are needed to detect the value of this test alone or combined with other biomarkers or clinical parameters across different patient populations and to define its place within patient care.
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