Pre-transplant biomarkers and prediction of post-transplant outcomes in kidney transplantation
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Different biomarkers have been recently described bringing interesting results regarding predictive outcomes in the field of kidney transplantation. In this setting, an evaluation for pre-transplant biomarkers especially in the era of expanded criteria donors (ECDs) and non-heart-beating donors (NHBDs) could help transplant physicians to make decisions on allocation or even on discharge of the allograft. Furthermore, identify pre-transplant biomarkers is useful for a risk stratification of delayed graft function (DGF), acute rejection (AR) episodes and chronic allograft dysfunction (CAD) after kidney transplantation (KT). In this review, we report recent findings on pre-transplant biomarkers from various biological samples from donors or recipients.

A R T I C L E  I N F O
Article Type: Review

A B S T R A C T
Different biomarkers have been recently described bringing interesting results regarding predictive outcomes in the field of kidney transplantation. In this setting, an evaluation for pre-transplant biomarkers especially in the era of expanded criteria donors (ECDs) and non-heart-beating donors (NHBDs) could help transplant physicians to make decisions on allocation or even on discharge of the allograft. Furthermore, identify pre-transplant biomarkers is useful for a risk stratification of delayed graft function (DGF), acute rejection (AR) episodes and chronic allograft dysfunction (CAD) after kidney transplantation (KT). In this review, we report recent findings on pre-transplant biomarkers from various biological samples from donors or recipients.

Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:
New findings in “omics” and genomics allowed us to find out new robust biomarkers that may allow a diagnosis avoiding invasive and dangerous procedures. This could be of particular importance if applied on transplant clinical practice. In kidney transplantation several pre-transplant biomarkers revealed useful either for a better renal allocation and as predictive of future outcomes as delayed graft function, rejections and long term outcome.


Introduction
Kidney transplantation (KT) appears to be the best replacement therapy of renal function for patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) as ameliorates significantly the quality of daily life, reduces mortality and lowers medical expense (1-3). The continuous increase of patients in ESRD, without a comparable availability of organs from cadaveric donors, has considerably extended the time in the waiting lists for KT (4). In attempt to increase the availability of organs from deceased donors, KT from expanded criteria donors (ECDs) and non-heart-beating donors (NHBDs) it turns out to be widely practiced.

Comparing the risk of graft loss, patients who received KT from ECDs have a 1.7-fold higher risk of graft loss compared to transplant patients from standard criteria donors (SCDs) (5). Nevertheless, they appear to have better survival rates than patients who continue dialysis treatment (6). Furthermore, a kidney from a NHBD it turns out to have an extended period of cold ischemia, which could cause an irreversible damage with negative effects in terms of graft survival in middle and long distance from the time of transplantation. Therefore, while these kidneys help to counter the increasing demand for transplants, it is important for nephrologists and transplant surgeons to have the right tools in order to assess the quality of the graft, minimize the risk to transplant organs of poor quality, or discard vital organs.

Current methods for evaluating the quality of kidneys for transplantation as donor age, creatinine levels or kidney
biopsy, have shown limited precision in predicting early and long-term allograft outcomes (7). The study of transplantation biology and biomarker research, is an important opportunity in this context. Recent advances of proteomic and genomic analysis, in various fields of medicine, have inspired several researchers to conduct similar studies in the field of transplantation. They coined a new word for this field of study, calling it “Transplantomics” (8). In this review we report recent findings on pre transplant biomarkers from various biological samples from donors or recipients, their contribution in the assessment of graft, and their predictive value on early and long term outcomes after KT.

Materials and Methods
For this review, we used a variety of sources by searching through Web of Science, PubMed, EMBASE, Scopus and directory of open access journals (DOAJ). The search was performed using combinations of the following key words and or their equivalents such as; kidney transplantation, pre-transplant biomarkers, organ appraisal, delayed graft function, acute rejection, and chronic allograft dysfunction.

Tissue biomarkers
Nowadays, perform zero-time biopsy in KT is a widespread practice that influences decisions regarding graft allocation and kidney discard (7,9). However, given the absence of valid associations between donor biopsy findings and post- transplant outcomes, the predictive value of zero-time biopsy is uncertain and its routine use to determine whether or not to transplant a kidney should be re-examined (10). Many researchers have attempted to overcome this obstacle by searching for intrarenal molecular expression as more suitable biomarker for post-transplant outcome. Primarily the researchers aim attention on the evaluation of certain molecules in the donor organ, which have been described to be cardinal indicators of ischemia–reperfusion injury (IRI). Among these, have been investigated adhesion molecules, heat shock proteins, apoptosis regulatory genes and components of the complement system.

In this setting, Schwarz et al (11) suggested that tubular epithelial cell adhesion molecule expression is not a predictor of acute rejection (AR) but could predict post-transplant delayed graft function (DGF) due to ischemia, as a significantly less expression of intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) on tubular epithelial cells was seen in deceased donor kidneys that consequently had primary function against those with DGF. The same group of researchers (12) showed that, failure of up regulation of the anti-apoptotic genes B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2) and B cell lymphoma extra-large (Bcl-XI) in cadaveric kidneys leads to DGF and to a higher incidence of tubular epithelial cells apoptosis, whereas cadaveric kidneys with primary function and living donor kidneys were vital enough to compensate ischemia by up regulation of the survival factors Bcl-2 and Bcl-XI. Subsequently, Nijboer et al (13), showed that higher ICAM-1 as well as vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1) staining in the kidney, although not augmented in the deceased donor kidneys in comparison with the living organ donor controls, have been associated with greater serum creatinine levels and inferior creatinine clearance at 1 and 3 years. Conversely, Heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1), a protective heat shock protein, documented to have a protective action, but exclusively in kidneys from living donors.

The hypothesis that brain death activate a stress-related reaction against which high levels of protective heat shock proteins, produced in the future graft, are able to counterbalance entirely this stress reaction have been opposed by Mueller et al (14) as a low Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1 (HSP-72) expression in pre-transplant donor kidney biopsies failed to predict DGF or AR. Recently, Kaminska et al (15) investigated the pre-transplant histological expression of 29 genes involved in immune activation and cell migration, tissue injury and apoptosis. Lipocalin-2 (LCN2) or neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) displayed preeminent expression in deceased donor kidneys biopsies and positively interconnected with DGF and/or AR episodes in the first 6 months after transplantation. Additionally, gene expression of hepatitis A virus cellular receptor 1 (HAVCR1) formerly known as kidney injury molecule 1 (KIM-1), associated positively with serum creatinine concentrations at six months post transplantation but, as documented in another study (16), did not predict DGF. The predictive value of the expression of complement components in preimplantation biopsies was as well investigated. Damman et al (17) acquired kidney biopsies from brain-dead donors and human living donors at the time of donation, after cold preservation, and after reperfusion of the graft. In brain-dead donors, C3 and fibrinogen deposition was increased at donation in comparison to living donors with no further deposition after cold ischemia or reperfusion. The authors documented that the expression of C3 after reperfusion was associated independently with decreased short-term function after transplantation in grafts from brain-dead donors. In untargeted microarray gene expression analyses, Hauser et al (18) illustrated differential expression of 48 genes associated with DGF in preimplantation biopsies of cadaveric donor kidneys. Beside complement genes that were decidedly up regulated into biopsies of DGF kidneys, many other genes correlated to metabolic, immune and cell communication pathways were up regulated in DGF kidneys. Part of this signature was confirmed afterward by the same authors in micro dissected zero-time biopsies (19). To check out the relationship with longer-term outcome, they have correlated microarray gene expression data in zero-time biopsies with glomerular filtration rate (GFR) at a distance of 12 months after transplantation. In this analysis, donor kidneys from recipients with impaired allograft function documented an up regulation of genes principally associated with oxidative stress response, functional classes of immunity, signal transduction and
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various complement genes. Analogously, was delineated an important gene expression disparities among living and deceased donor kidneys in pediatric kidney recipients (20). In this further extensive data-driven pathway analysis in preimplantation biopsies, repeatedly complement genes were decidedly enhanced, and in association with reduced primary graft function and also with graft function up to 3 years after transplantation. Beside complement gene expression, diverse pathways were decidedly enhanced in deceased donor kidneys, although to a minor measure. Recently in a large transcriptomic analysis was proved the enhancement of hypoxia, complement cascade and coagulation pathway in deceased versus living donor kidneys. Essentially, these expression dissimilarities were recognized by the time of procurement (before cold ischemia) in brain-death donor kidneys, and after first warm ischemia in deceased donor kidneys (21).

In addition, in targeted studies (22-24), mRNA levels of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (CDKN2A) in pre-transplant kidney biopsies, one of the markers of cellular senescence, was documented as the most solid post-transplant predictor of serum creatinine at 6 months and one year in confront with clinical factors as age of donor and cold ischemic time. In addition, CDKN2A was also a solid predictor of DGF (24). In confirmation of this last finding, McGuinness et al (25) documented that the incidence of DGF was correlated with elevated CDKN2A expression and declined expression of hsa-miR-217 and hsa-miR-125b, two miRNAs (microRNAs) implicated in cellular damage responses as mediators of CDKN2 loci transcript expression. Analysis of these miRNA expression levels revealed their capacity to predict DGF in 83% of cases, with an overall specificity of 91% and sensitivity of 61%. A direct comparison between the clinical method most commonly used to determine allograft suitability in the United Kingdom, the UK Kidney Donor Risk Index (UKKDRl) (26) and this microRNA model, called "the Glasgow Renal Performance Scoring System" (GRPSS), indicated that the GRPSS was better to predict DGF occurrence.

Urine biomarkers

Experimental and clinical models documented that, urinary biomarkers such as uNGAL, uKIM-1, uIL-18 and urinary L-type fatty acid-binding protein (uL-FABP) are specific markers of acute kidney injury (AKI) and/or IRI (27,28). Different urinary biomarkers from recipients are also reported to predict primary non function (29-33). However, estimate recipient urinary biomarkers are not useful to make decisions regarding the acceptance and the subsequent allocation of cadaveric kidneys. In contrast, considering the current restrictions to allograft quality appraisal, donor urinary biomarker analysis could serve as a valuable assessment tool to decide on allograft selection and in addition make decisions on early perioperative recipient management.

Hollmen et al (34) examined the predictive value of uNGAL levels in deceased donors for the first time. None of the donors had clinically established AKI previous to death. In donors with high uNGAL, graft survival was lower after 1 year. Donor uNGAL was an independent risk factor for prolonged DGF (≥14 days) in the multivariate analysis. However, failed to predict DGF in the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis. Reese et al (35) also documented the association of donor uNGAL with consequent DGF, but with lower incidence in comparison to the findings of Hollmen et al (34). Furthermore, both uNGAL and uL-FABP were in association with a lower estimated GFR (eGFR) at six months, but only in recipients in absence of DGF. Based on these data, the authors concluding that donor urine injury biomarkers procure exiguous value to predict DGF and early allograft function after transplantation (35). Koo et al (36) reconsidered these data with their findings. They investigated the predictive value of donor uNGAL, uKIM-1 and uL-FABP for reduced graft function (RGF), defined as delayed or slow graft function, and graft function one year after transplantation. Donor uNGAL and uL-FABP were associated with RGF. In addition the authors based on donor serum creatinine levels, uNGAL and uL-FABP, produced a scoring method to predict RGF. RGF prediction score showed a statistically superior diagnostic performance in comparison with the DGF calculator and the kidney donor profile index (KDPI). Levels of donor uL-FABP were also prognostic of allograft function after 1 year.

Recently, Puthumana et al (37) investigated the repair phase protein chitinase-3-like protein 1 (CHI3L1), also known as YKL-40, as new donor urinary biomarker. Increased donor urinary YKL-40 concentration showed to be in association with reduced risk of DGF. Furthermore, in the event of DGF, elevated donor urinary YKL-40 concentration was in association with higher 6-month eGFR. These findings indicate that YKL-40 is produced after tubular injury and is associated independently with recovery from DGF. In smaller studies other possible donor urinary biomarkers have been investigated; Sárváry et al (38) showed that urine glutathione S-transferases (GST) was significantly related with the recovery of allograft function as defined by a comparison with the tubular enzymuria of GST in healthy controls. Shoskes et al (39) documented that a marker of oxidative function termed Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC), was associated with decreased urine concentration in donors from kidneys that were finally discarded or developed DGF in comparison with donor urine from kidneys that were transplanted and had primary function.

Perfusate biomarkers

Hypothermic machine perfusion (HMP) is used with the intention to limit the occurrence of DGF and ameliorate allograft function in comparison with static cold storage (40-42). Through the continuous perfusion of the kidney with a cold preservation solution, is able to remove toxic metabolites, decrease lactic acid production and provide
nutrients from the kidney. In the United States by 2008, half of kidneys which underwent transplant from ECDs and 70% of NHBDs were machine perfused (43). The effects of persisting ischemia on the kidney in HMP, can be measured through the use of real-time pump parameter that consist in perfusion flow, perfusion pressure, and renal resistance. Many transplant centres actually estimate the quality of the kidney using these parameters (44,45) even if the predictive value and the applicability of these physical measurements currently is questionable and must be established and validated by more extensive studies (46). In this context, the evaluation of non-invasive kidney injury biomarkers from perfusate solution could add a greater predictive value to kidney viability and allograft outcomes as concede assessment at multiple time points during preservation. Moers et al (47) have investigated for the first time six important perfusate biomarkers that have been supported in different studies and are already in use by various transplant centres (48-52). These biomarkers are; lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), aspartate aminotransferase (ASAT), total glutathione-S-transferase (GST), alanine-aminopeptidase (Ala-AP), N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase (NAG), and heart type fatty acid binding protein (H-FABP). The researchers concluded that total-GST, NAG, and H-FABP were independent predictors of DGF but not of primary non-function (PNF) and graft survival. LDH, ASAT, and Ala-AP had no an independent predictive value for any of the endpoints. This study showed for the first time that kidney evaluation due measurements of perfusate biomarkers should not lead to discard of the organ.

Nagelschmidt et al (53) documented that in kidneys which developed DGF, the levels of total GST, a-GST and lipid peroxidation products (LPOP) at the end of HMP were higher. However, after multivariate analyses only LPOP correlated with DGF and none of the investigated biomarkers were in correlation with later outcomes. In a prospective multicenter study which investigated the associations of alpha and pi iso-enzymes of GST with consequent DGF, Hall et al (54) showed that at the end of machine perfusion only pi-GST was independently associated with DGF. In another study Snoeij et al (55) discovered alpha1-antritrypsin as a new perfusate biomarker that was up regulated in kidneys with DGF.

Hoogland et al (56), in one of the most important studies of the last years, evaluated in NHBDs, the predictive value of different perfusate biomarkers: GST, LDH, H-FABP and redox-active iron. Additionally, new potential perfusate biomarkers IL-18 and NGAL were measured. The predictive value of individual biomarkers for PNF was poor. Only redox-active iron and IL-18 improved to “fair” after counting clinically significant confounders in a multivariate analysis. LDH and IL-18 concentrations were correlated with DGF but none of the biomarkers analysed in this study was correlated with 1-year graft survival. The researchers concluded that the diagnostic relevance of the perfusate biomarkers to predict viability of kidneys from NHBDs fluctuate from “poor” to “fair”. Consequently, kidneys from NHBDs should not be discarded because of high concentration of a perfusate biomarker.

Similar conclusions were reached by Parikh et al (57) in the largest prospective multicenter cohort study, which investigated associations among perfusate biomarkers (NGAL, KIM-1, IL-18, L-FABP ) and pump parameters (resistance and flow) with DGF and allograft eGFR at six months.

Recently Guy et al (58) effectuated one-dimensional proton-nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy on 45-min and 4-h perfusate samples from 26 kidneys to demonstrate that 28 different metabolites varied in concentration during HMP, while specific metabolites (leucine, inosine, gluconate, and glucose) predicted DGF. miR-21, a novel biomarker described in AKI (59), was also investigated by Khalid et al (60) in the first study that evaluated the miRNA expression in HMP samples. miR-21 correlated with eGFR at 6 and 12 months post-transplantation, suggesting its use as a sentinel for early outcome following kidney transplant.

Serum biomarkers

Non-invasive pre-transplant serum biomarkers predictive of the recipient immune status might be a useful tool to prevent severe early AR episodes, as well as to identify patients with an increased risk of allograft failure. One of the most well investigated pre-transplant serum biomarkers is the soluble form of CD30 (sCD30). CD30 is a 120-kDa transmembrane glycoprotein and a member of the tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily. Is preferentially expressed on human CD4+ and CD8+ T cells that secrete Th2-type cytokines (61) and the soluble form is released into the bloodstream after activation of CD30+ T cells (62). ESRD is correlated with various alterations of the immune system, including insufficient generation of Th2-type responses and regularly Th1-type cytokine-mediated chronic inflammation (63).

Increased serum sCD30 reflects the small portion of patients who are able to produce high amounts of the Th2-type cytokine IL-10 which counterbalance this immune defect but could generate a potent alloimmune response against the allograft after KT. This has been supported by Weimer et al (64) in patients with high pre-transplantation IL-10-dominated Th2-type immune response and poor kidney graft outcome. The same group of researchers (65,66) evaluated directly sCD30 that turned out to be an excellent predictor of kidney graft outcome as associated with graft rejection and significantly lower graft survival. Furthermore, sCD30 allowed the identification of high-risk recipients not only in patients with preformed anti-HLA antibodies but also in non-sensitized patients without anti-HLA reactivity. Several other studies have been published and highlighted the relevance of pre-transplantation serum concentration of sCD30 to predict AR episodes and allograft failure (67-71). However, some studies have found that pre-transplantation sCD30 levels are not different between patients with and without AR.
Recently, a meta-analysis (81) documented that the accuracy of pre-transplantation sCD30 to predict post transplantation AR episodes was poor, suggesting the need of a further large prospective study. Furthermore, other studies (82,83) investigated whether pre-transplant serum determination of chemokine cxc motif ligand 10 (CXCL10), an interferon induced chemokine, whose expression is strongly associated with Th1-type immune responses, may predict the recipient’s risk of graft rejection and transplant failure. These studies documented that patients with increased serum CXCL10 levels have a higher incidence of transplant failure, especially in the early post-transplant period and are more prone to AR episodes and a subsequent CAD. Afterward, a multicenter study obtained similar results with chemokine cxc motif ligand 9 (CXCL9) which, likewise CXCL10 is a ligand of chemokine cxc motif receptor 3 (CXCR3) (84). These findings suggest that CXCR3 ligands playing a pivotal role in the initiation and amplification of host alloresponses, in the development of AR and also in the pathogenesis of CAD, which finally leads to graft loss. Many other studies evaluated whether pre-transplant levels of different cytokines are associated with early post-transplant outcomes in recipients. Increased pre-transplant plasma levels for soluble IL-6 receptor (sIL-6R) (85) and low soluble gp130, another member of the IL-6 cytokine subfamily which functions as a transducer chain shared by many cytokines including IL6, shown to be associated with DGF (86).

In addition high pre-transplant levels of soluble IL-2 receptor (sIL-2R) (87), IL-2 (88), IL-6 (89), IL-12 (90), IL-10 (90) and INF-γ (88,91) documented to predict AR episodes.

Using systematic application of INF-γ enzyme linked immunospot (ELISPot) assay, different studies documented that the pre-transplant frequency of donor specific INF-γ-producing cells correlates with AR among recipients of cadaveric kidney allograft (92-95). These results were also confirmed in a population of living-donor kidney transplant recipients (96). Conversely, the recent Clinical Trial in Organ Transplantation (CTOT-01) multicenter study showed that pre-transplant IFN-γ ELISPot positivity did not correlates with the occurrence of AR. This study documented pre-transplant IFN-γ ELISpot positivity and lower post-transplant eGFR in patients who did not receive anti-thymocyte globulin (ATG) induction (97). These findings suggest that are needed controlled studies to test the hypothesis that in transplant candidates with high frequencies of donor-reactive memory T cells, the induction with ATG is preferential.

Recently, Nguyen el al (98) documented that recipient peripheral blood Treg suppressive function is a potential independent pre-transplantation predictor of DGF and slow graft function (SGF). The same authors (99) confirmed these findings using a simpler and alternative way to measure pre-transplant Treg cell function and predict DGF. In this study tumor necrosis factor receptor 2 (TNFR2) expressed on circulating Treg cells served as a surrogate phenotypic surface marker of pre-transplant Treg cell–suppressive function in patients awaiting a KT.

Measuring pre-transplant circulating CD4+CD127lo/− TNFR2+ Treg cells could therefore allow identification of recipients at risk for DGF before transplantation, and consequently guide organ allocation and DGF-targeted immunotherapy.

Conclusion
In summary, there are many potential pre-transplant biomarkers identified but until now none of them have been successful validated for routine clinical practice, as biomarkers with ideal specificity and sensitivity are difficult to be found. A potential solution is to use the combinatorial power of different biomarkers, each of which alone may not offer satisfaction in specificity or sensitivity. Furthermore, it is not enough to know what proportion of persons are reclassified by a biomarker into a different risk category; we also need to know whether a reclassification leads to health benefits. Predictive biomarkers suggest the population of patients who are likely to respond to a particular treatment. Before start using pre-transplant biomarkers in clinical practice is mandatory to identify more appropriate medical management strategies that will allow preventing an adverse outcome as DGF, AR episodes and CAD in order to have long-lasting function of these kidneys.

Authors’ contribution
MS and AT equally designed the study, wrote the manuscript and revised the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest
The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Ethical considerations
Ethical issues (including plagiarism, data fabrication, double publication) have been completely observed by the authors.

Funding/Support
No fund or support have been received for this study.

References
5. Port FK, Bragg-Gresham JL, Metzger RA, Dykstra DM,


32. Pajek J, Škoberne A, Šosteric K, Adlesić B, Leskošek B,


Pre-transplant biomarkers


