Catheter-related blood stream infections in hemodialysis patients
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Introduction

One challenging problem in hemodialysis patients is catheter-related bloodstream infections (CRBSIs). Hemodialysis patients are susceptible to infection due to multiple individual and therapeutic risk factors such as decreased immunity, repeated exposure to the hospital equipment and hemodialysis catheter colonization during hemodialysis sessions (1-3).

In addition, infection is an important factor for hospitalization in hemodialysis patients. CRBSI is a pitfall for health outcomes in these patients. In some centers, approximately 30% of the hospitalized hemodialysis patients have catheter infections (4).

Hence, proper handling of catheter is necessary for reducing CRBSIs. For decreasing catheter infections, permanent devices were replaced with temporary ones. Still, however, catheter infections and related complications are life-threatening events in dialysis centers. On the other hand, economic burden of infectious events is considerable (5-7).

CRBSIs are mostly common with gram-positive skin flora, particularly staphylococci (1). The most common
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organisms causing CRBSIs are coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS), *Staphylococcus aureus* and enteric gram-negative bacilli, respectively (8). The mature multilayered biofilm that is perhaps 100-fold larger than the microorganisms, results in antibiotic resistance in patients (9).

According to international guidelines, delay in referral of patients in stage 4 of chronic kidney disease (pre-dialysis) to nephrologist and implantation of arteriovenous fistula or graft result in catheter insertion for urgent hemodialysis and increased risk of subsequent complications. Decreased mortality due to infection may be achieved by appropriate choice of antibiotics and avoiding catheter salvage attempts (1).

**Objectives**

One important problem is proper management of bacteremia related to hemodialysis catheters. Delay in receiving culture results and lack of characteristic signs and symptoms cause most physicians to initiate empirical antibiotic therapy in patients suspected of CRBSIs. This strategy needs primary information about the epidemiology of CRBSIs in each center. Therefore, we studied the epidemiology of CRBSIs and related risk factors in hemodialysis centers of Lorestan University of Medical Sciences.

**Patients and Methods**

**Study population**

This study was conducted on 122 hemodialysis patients suspected for CRBSIs in hemodialysis centers of Lorestan University of Medical Sciences (March 2015 to March 2018) (10).

**Clinical and lab data**

Data collected about the organisms of the catheter line and/or peripheral bloodlines. Antibiotic resistance of the organisms of the catheter and/or blood, age, gender, comorbidities (such as hypertension and diabetes mellitus), site of the catheter and clinical presentation of infection such as fever or chills, leucocyte count and other related characteristics were determined.

**Diagnostic methods**

Incidence rates of CRBSI were calculated from pooled data for device and expressed as CRBSI per 1000 catheter – days (method of calculation: the number of days of catheter use divided into episode of bacteremia occurred, multiplied by 1000) (11).

Diagnosis of CRBSIs requires one of the following:

1. Concurrent positive blood cultures of the same organism from the catheter and a peripheral vein.
2. Culture of the same organism from both the catheter tip and at least one percutaneous blood culture.
3. Cultures of the same organism from two peripherally drawn blood cultures. Organisms cultured must meet CRBSI criteria for quantitative blood cultures or differential time to positivity. Additionally, growth of >15 colony-forming units (CFU) from a 5-cm segment of the catheter tip by semi-quantitative culture was designated for catheter infection (12).

**Patient suspected of CRBSIs**

A case with a dwelled central vein hemodialysis catheter is suspected of CRBSI if patient has clinical characteristics of infection including fever or chills, and/or significant changes in leucocyte counts, without any other obvious sources for infection.

**Microbiological methods**

Tips of the catheters were removed by the surgeon and transferred to laboratory in a sterile container, while at least two blood culture samples were taken before removing the catheter (from peripheral vein and catheter line or hub). The antibiotic susceptibility profile for isolated organisms was determined by disk diffusion agar using Müller-Hinton agar according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guideline (13).

**Ethical issues**

Human rights were respected in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration 1975, as revised in 1983. The ethical committee of Lorestan University of Medical Sciences confirmed the study (ethical code; IR.LUMS.REC.1398.020). The informed consents were taken from the patients. This study was extracted from the M.D, thesis of Mahdi Razani at this University (Thesis# 1071).

**Statistical analysis**

SPSS version 16 was used for statistical analysis. Qualitative variables analyzed by chi-square and quantitative ones by *t* test, respectively. *P* value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

Average age of infected patients was 62.9 years and their gender distribution included 58 men (69%) and 26 women (31%), in this study. Our results showed that the incidence of CRBSI was 7.1 episodes per 1000 catheter/days.

About frequency of known etiologic factors for end-stage renal disease, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, nephrolithiasis and obstructive uropathy were the most common causes, respectively.

**Organisms and antibiotic resistance**

Eighty-four (68%) of the patients had positive cultures, (bacteria were isolated in eighty-one cultures and fungi in three cultures). The most common organisms were CoNS and *Staphylococcus aureus*, respectively (Table 1). Microorganisms that, obtained from the catheters or blood
cultures were gram-positive (*Staphylococcus epidermis*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus schleiferi*, *Staphylococcus haemolyticus*, *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*, *Enterococcus faecalis*), gram-negative (*Acinetobacter*, *Escherichia coli*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumonia*) and fungi (*Candida albicans*) (Table 1). According to the antibiotic susceptibility tests from the cultures, the most common antibiotic resistance and most sensitivity in *Staphylococcus* spp. were reported for penicillin and cefoxitin, respectively. Twenty-one percent of the *Staphylococcus* spp. was methicillin resistance *S. aureus* (MRSA). There were also some multi-drugs resistance (MDR) organisms such as *Acinetobacter* species, which were resistant to all of the tested antibiotics (Table 2).

**Catheter infection and related factors**

Catheter infection was more common in men. Forty-four patients had femoral catheters, 25 of whom (71%) were infected, 79 had jugular and 28 had subclavian catheters. Forty (26.4%) of the jugular catheters and 15 (9.9%) of the subclavian ones were infected (Table 3).

Table 1. Variety of the organisms in CRBSI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisms</th>
<th>Number of organisms (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>G+</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>24 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus epidermidis</em></td>
<td>31 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus schleiferi</em></td>
<td>3 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus haemolyticus</em></td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</em></td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterococcus faecalis</em></td>
<td>6 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acinetobacter lwoffii</em></td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acinetobacter baumannii</em></td>
<td>3 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>3 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G-</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterobacter cloacae</em></td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</em></td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fungi</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Candida albicans</em></td>
<td>3 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Organisms and antibiotic resistance in CRBSI cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th><em>Staphylococcus</em> spp., N (%)</th>
<th><em>Enterobacteriaceae</em>, N (%)</th>
<th><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em>, N (%)</th>
<th><em>Acinetobacter</em> spp., N (%)</th>
<th><em>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</em>, N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>S=9 (16), R=47 (83), n=56</td>
<td>S=2 (40), R=3 (60), n=5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefoxitin</td>
<td>S=45 (78), R=12 (21), n=57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>S=15 (25), R=43 (74), n=58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethoprim/ Sulfamethoxazole</td>
<td>S=33 (61), R=21 (39), n=54</td>
<td>S=3 (43), R=4 (57), n=7</td>
<td>S=1 (25), R=3 (75), n=4</td>
<td>S=2 (100), n=2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>S=18 (33), R=40 (67), n=58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>S=11 (40), R=16 (60), n=27</td>
<td>S=3 (43), R=4 (57), n=7</td>
<td>S=1 (25), R=3 (75), n=4</td>
<td>S=1 (50), R=1 (50), n=2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>S=16 (30), R=37 (70), n=53</td>
<td>S=1 (20), R=4 (80), n=5</td>
<td>S=1 (100), n=1</td>
<td>S=1 (50), R=1 (50), n=2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamycin</td>
<td>S=2 (33), R=4 (66), n=6</td>
<td>S=1 (100), n=1</td>
<td>S=1 (100), n=1</td>
<td>S=1 (25), R=3 (75), n=4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>R=2 (40), S=3 (60), n=5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>S=6 (100), n=6</td>
<td>S=1 (100), n=1</td>
<td>S=1 (25), R=3 (75), n=4</td>
<td>S=1 (50), R=1 (50), n=2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem</td>
<td>S=3 (75), R=1 (25), n=4</td>
<td>S=1 (100), n=1</td>
<td>S=1 (25), R=3 (75), n=4</td>
<td>S=1 (50), R=1 (50), n=2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefepime</td>
<td>R=1 (100), n=1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levofloxacin</td>
<td>R=1 (100), n=1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxime</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S, sensitive; R, resistance; n, number of times that antibiotic susceptibility test has been done.
catheter culture, approximately 52% had considerable clinical presentations of infection, which was fever or chills. Other cases had no significant fever or chills. Hypertension and diabetes were the main comorbidities in our patients. About CRBSIs, only four patients had tunnel infection and their catheters were immediately removed. Among all patients, five cases had endocarditis which S. aureus was the main organism cultured. All endocarditis patients had both fever and severe leukocytosis (WBC more than 20000/µL).

Discussion

Hemodialysis patients in all centers are prone to CRBSIs, due to immune dysfunction and repetitive exposure to health environment (11). There are some differences between centers about incidence of CRBSI. Incidence of CRBSI, was 1.2-2.5 per 1000 catheter/days in Canadian study. In other studies 6.1 per 1000 catheter - days was reported (1, 14). We suppose that the difference in catheter care behaviors, type of catheters, or duration of catheter indwelling may influence on the results. Periodic and simultaneous studies should be done in each geographic area to compare the incidence of infection between centers.

CRBSIs related microorganisms and risk factors in our study are similar to other studies. In this study, the most common organisms were coagulase negative Staphylococcus species and S. aureus, respectively. These organisms are also the most common in other studies (14-17).

Conversely, S aureus-Staphylococcus coagulase negative and Enterobacter-Pseudomonas were common in another report (18).

In the majority of CRBSIs, the most common organisms are gram-positive organisms (52-84%). Among all the Staphylococcus species, 21% had resistance to cefoxitin (second-generation cephamycin antibiotic), which indicates a high prevalence of MRSA in infected catheters. The high prevalence of MRSA in these patients may be due to their frequent visits to the hospital for their hemodialysis process and exposure to resistant organisms. Almost in all the studies about catheter infection, MRSA infections were reported (12,19).

In some centers up to 33%-43% of CRBSIs are MRSA, which has been associated with great cost and high mortality (20). In another report, out of 128 positive cultures, 53 were positive for S. aureus, which 30 of them was MRSA (21).

Considering high prevalence of MRSA in infected patients with Staphylococcus species, empiric antibiotic must be effective on these organisms. In hemodialysis centers with an elevated frequency of MRSA organisms, vancomycin is appropriate for empirical antibiotic treatment. If vancomycin minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) is more than 12 mg/mL, other alternative agents, such as daptomycin, should be selected (12,22).

Among the gram-negative bacteria species, there were MDR organisms (such as A. baumannii). Considering the existence of the above mentioned organisms, appropriate antibiotics should be used. Other studies have referred to these organisms and high antibiotics resistance of them too (12,23). In a study, gram-negative species were isolated in 27%-36% of episodes and fungi were less common (<10%) (24).

In another study, Pseudomonas/Stenotrophomonas accounts for 4%-16% of microorganisms involved in CRBSIs (25).

About gram-negative bacilli microorganisms, local antibiotic susceptibility information and severity of infection are necessary for empirical therapy, while fourth generation cephalosporin, carbapenem or β-lactam/ lactamase together with or without an aminoglycoside are appropriate (22,26,27).

All culture samples in our study showed only single type of organism growth. Conversely, in a study, 16-20% of CRBSIs had multiple organisms in culture that is a challenging matter for antibiotic selection (28).

Empiric combination antibiotic therapy for MDR gram-negative bacilli (such as P. aeruginosa) must be used, when CRBSIs are suspected in neutropenic patients, severely ill patients with sepsis, or patients recognized to be colonized
Vancomycin is recommended for initial treatment of CRBSI. Male patients are more prone to CRBSIs, which had fever or chills immediately after beginning of hemodialysis, had positive cultures. As a rule, fluctuations of temperature in hemodialysis patients are common. In a study, frequency of fever or chills was 53%. In other studies, 44% of patients with CRBSIs had fever or chills (18,33). We should differentiate original infection from others in these patients. On the other hand, in a study in Pakistan, 51% of cultures sent to laboratory were positive (34). Hence, clinicians must consider clinical symptoms or signs of inflammation in hemodialysis patients. However, clinical manifestation is not a reliable method for diagnosis of CRBSI, since confirmatory laboratory diagnosis is necessary.

In our study, male gender was a risk factor for CRBSI (Table 3). There are converse results of risk factors in multiple studies. Diabetes was identified as a risk factor in one study (17). Gender and site of catheter were evaluated in another study, which had a significant association with CRBSI (35). In a study, femoral site of catheter insertion was a risk factor for CRBSIs (36). Other factors such as type of catheter, average age and comorbidities did not have a significant association with catheter infection (18). Some studies showed that diabetes and prolonged duration of catheter were risk factors for CRBSIs (14,37,38). Studies showed that previous history of CRBSIs was a risk factor (35,39). The multiple analysis clearly indicated that hypertension, atherosclerosis, and site of catheter insertion (femoral versus jugular) were important risk factors for bacteremia episodes (40,41). Finally, we suppose that diversity of etiologic factors, or time for follow-up may influence on frequency of reported risk factors in centers. Although the prevalence of diabetes mellitus or hypertension is different between centers, hypertension and diabetes are the most common comorbidities in all of the patients with hemodialysis around the world like our study (8,12).

Conclusion

Practical findings of this study are;
1. Concerning, the high frequency of gram positive and gram-negative microorganisms in the center, coverage of all of them is recommended for empirical treatment of CRBSIs.
2. Vancomycin is recommended for initial treatment of CRBSI to cover the MRSA organisms.
3. Male patients are more prone to CRBSIs, which mandates further preventive and therapeutic maneuvers in these groups. The catheter infection in hemodialysis patients can result in catastrophic complications such as septicemia and endocarditis. Our recommendations to future studies include focusing on the preventive methods, i.e. reducing risk factors of the catheter infection and further strict hygienic and aseptic behaviors in dialysis centers.

However, the best way to prevent catheter infection is earlier diagnosis and referral pre-dialysis patients to a nephrologist for insertion of arteriovenous access (fistula or graft) or transplantation.

Limitations of the study

The most important limitation in our study was low number of evaluated patients compared to larger studies. On the other hand, blood cultures were performed only in cases of suspected CRBSI, so we could have missed subclinical episodes. Moreover, some of the patients (approximately 8%) had obscure data about their catheters.
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